

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,801 08/29/2001		Yoshiro Yamaguchi	110491	4697	
25944	7590	02/08/2006	MINER		
OLIFF & BI	,	PLC	DINH, DUC Q		
ALEXANDR		2320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2674	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			plication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/940,801 YAMAGUCHI E		AL.				
			aminer	Art Unit					
		וס	JC Q. DINH	2674					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum is re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may ply and will expire SIX (6) Mose the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>2</u> 8 Nove	mber 2005.		•				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance	except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the	application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[]	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)□ accepte	d or b)□ objected to	b by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the draw	ring(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction i	s required if the drawir	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) 🔲 🦰	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exami	ner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign price	rity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	l 04				
	Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation			n received in this National	Stage				
* \$	ee the attached detailed Office action	•	• • • •	at received					
Ü	de the attached detailed emice dette	on tol a list of the	ie certified copies ne	it redelived.					
Attachma=+	(c)								
Attachment 1) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/940,801 Page 2

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 28, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 8 recites the limitation "plural colors" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-8, 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon, II et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,271,823), hereinafter Gordon, in view of Hou et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,113,810), hereinafter Hou.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,801

Art Unit: 2674

In reference to claim 1, Gordon discloses an image display device comprising:

a display substrate(2);

a back substrate (4);

an electrode (20) formed on the display substrate(2);

a spacer(24) for forming a gap between the display substrate and the back substrate;

two kinds of particles differing in color and polarity (col. 2, lines 45-51) and sealed between the display substrate and the back substrate (col. 6, lines 64-66);

a filter (30,32,34) of plural colors for transmitting light of a specific wavelength;

Gordon does not disclose the particles are directly in contact with at least one of the lower surface of the display substrate and upper surface of the back substrate.

Hou discloses in Fig. 1 the particles of a display are directly in contact with at least one of the lower surface of the display substrate and upper surface of the back substrate.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize when electrical charges is applied to the electrodes of the display, the particles are in directly contact with the upper substrate to address their optical states according with the electrical applied to the display electrodes.

In reference to claim 2, Hou discloses the two particles are white and black as claimed.

In reference to claims 3-4, Hou discloses the particles white electrophoretic particles 22 and black electrophoretic particles 24 may be formed from crosslinked polymer particles using a two stage dispersion polymerization technique with and without staining with a metal oxide, respectively [col.3, lines 45-55].

Application/Control Number: 09/940,801

Art Unit: 2674

In reference to claim 5, Gordon discloses in Fig. 1 the substrate and the filter are integrated.

In reference to claim 6, Gordon discloses the color filter medium can, for example, be a light-transmissive colored filter element, a colored light-reflecting panel, or the pigment suspension fluid itself can be colored and serve as the color filter medium (col.3, lines 35-40).

In reference to claim 7, Gordon discloses the filter is divided into 3 regions for red, green, and blue colors (Fig. 1).

In reference to claim 8, Gordon discloses the color filer is arranged in stripes (Fig. 1)

In reference in claim 10, Gordon discloses the color filter medium selects the color reflected by each cell. The color filter medium can, for example, be a light-transmissive colored filter element disposed across the horizontal area of the cell, either above the suspension or below the suspension on top of the light-reflecting panel. An appropriately colored pigment suspension fluid, a colored light-reflecting panel, a color diffuser, or a painted surface can also serve as the color filter medium (col. 8, lines 8-18).

In reference to claim 11, Gordon discloses the filter is divided into plural chromatic region (R, G, B; see Fig. 1) and the barrier 22 as archromatic region between filters (Fig. 1).

In reference to claim 12, Gordon discloses the transparent achromatic wall 24 as claimed.

In reference to claim 13, Gordon discloses electrodes 8 and 20 as claimed.

6. Claims 14-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon and Hou as applied to claims 1-8 and 10-13 and further in view of Comiskey (U. S. Patent No. 6,376,828).

In reference to claims 14 and 15, the combination of Gordon and Hou do not discloses the irradiating for the display. Comiskey disclose and front light for an electrophoretic display for emitting white light to the inside of the display medium for the display substrate side of the image display medium (see Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the light source to illuminate the display in the combination of Gordon and Hou as taught by Comiskey for lighting the display when ambient light decreases (col. 8, lines 15-20 of Comisky).

In reference to claims 16-18, refer to the rejection as applied to claims 14-15. In addition, Comiskey discloses the light transmissive element 8 may comprise additional elements to enhance the versatility of the illuminated nonemissive electronic display 1. In one embodiment of the invention, shown in FIG. 1, a light polarizing film 16 (spectral means) is provided adjacent first surface 8a to increase the uniformity of light passing through the second face 8b and reaching the viewer 20. In another embodiment of the invention, a red/green/blue absorptive filter (not shown) is provided adjacent the first face 8a or second face 8b of the light transmissive element 8 to alter the wavelength of light passing through the first face 8a or second face 8b thereby creating a colored display (col. 6, line 62 – col. 7, line 6).

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon and Hou as applied to claims 1-9 above and further in view of Shirochi (U. S. Patent No. 5,872,654)

In reference to claim 9, the combination Gordon and Hou do not discloses the filter is on of the matrix mosaic type. Sherochi discloses color filters corresponding to three primary colors

are placed relative to each pixel and the same color pixels are arranged having the mosaic type as claimed.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to learn the teaching of Shirochi, i.e.:, color filters corresponding to three primary colors are placed relative to each pixel and the same color pixels are arranged having the mosaic pattern for providing a display device in which the diffusion for more than three pixels can be easily obtained (col. 2, lines 34-37 of Shirochi).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As elaborated above, Gordon discloses a display device having display substrates and particles of different colors and polarities disposed in between and filler of different colors for transmitting light of specific wavelength and Hou discloses display particles are directly contact with at least one of a lower surface of the display substrate and upper surface of the back substrate as claimed. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **DUC Q. DINH** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7686** The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:00.AM-4:00.PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Edouard Patrick** can be reached on (571)272-7603.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,801

Art Unit: 2674

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Va Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

DUC Q DINH Examiner Art Unit 2674

DQD October 30, 2004 PATRICK N. EDOUARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER